Serial No. 10/657,489

## REMARKS

A significant difference between the invention of the present application and U.S. Patent No. 5,622,615 (Lien) is the position of guide member 2 (beveled guide strip 11). As to '615 and referring to Fig. 3 (col. 2, lines 16-30), when the window is completely closed, the beveled guide strip 11 is above the rubber packing strip 14 of the car door frame 13. When lowering or raising the window glass in Lien, the beveled guide strip 11 is moved to pass through the rubber packing strip 14 of the car door frame 13. However, the guide strip 11 may not be successfully inserted into the groove between rubber packing strip 14 and window glass. It may cause the rubber packing strip 14 of the door frame 13 to peel off due to the repeated passage of the guide strip 11. On the other hand, the guide member 2 of the present invention is concealed in the groove 4 of the doorframe while the window is completely closed, as shown in Fig. 1 (page 2, lines 17-18). When lowering or raising the window, the guide member 2 is always inside the door frame so as to eliminate the disadvantage of '615.

Claim 1 has been amended to positively recite this significant difference of the present invention over the prior art. It is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 1 has been overcome and claim 1 is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claim 2 has been added directed to the preferred construction of the present invention as clearly shown in the drawings of the present application, which construction is particularly advantageous in preventing peeling of the static adhesive material due to repeated passage into and out of the interior cavity of the automobile doorframe. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that claim 2 is in condition for allowance for these separate and independent reasons. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Serial No. 10/657,489

Therefore, since the claims of the present application have been shown to include limitations directed to the features of applicants' sunshade for automobile's window which are neither shown, described, taught, nor alluded to in any of the references cited by the Examiner, whether those references are taken singly or in any combination, the Examiner is requested to allow claims 1 and 2, as amended, of the present application and to pass this application to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Tung-Hsin Chen et al.

Dated: November 30, 2004.

Alan D. Kamrath, Reg. No. 28,227 NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. Attorneys for Applicant(s) 900 Second Avenue South Suite 820 International Centre

Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 392-7306 Fax: (612) 349-6556